produced by

Bureau of Water Division of Water Pollution Control Field Operations Section

Section I: General InformationP	age 2
Section II: Regulatory ViolationsP	age 4
Section III: Water PollutionP	'age 5
Section IV: Odor/Air PollutionP	age 7
Section V: Facilities Referred to Illinois Department of AgricultureP	'age 8
Section VI: Enforcement ActivitiesP	'age 8
Section VII: Measures Taken in 2001 to Correct Pollution Problems	ge 11
Section VIII: List of AttachmentsPa	ge 12

 $Information\ for\ this\ report\ is\ gathered\ from\ the\ following\ Sections\ within\ the\ Bureau\ of\ Water\ -\ Compliance\ Assurance\ Section,\ Water\ Pollution\ Control/Field\ Operations\ Section,\ and\ Water\ Pollution\ Control/\ Permits\ Section.$ 

Information is also gathered from the Agency = s Division of Legal Counsel.

#### **Section I: General Information**

1.	Total number of facilities surveyed during 2001240
2.	Number of facilities contacted for the first time during 2001121
3.	Total number of on-site visits conducted during 2001469
4.	Number of on-site follow-up surveys conducted in 2001235
5.	Number of facilities contacted/visited raising or boarding the following types of livestock:  Beef
6.	Number of operations contacted in 2001 where more than one type of livestock were raised or boarded $$ - $$ 27
7.	Number of facilities contacted/visited falling within each of the following categories in terms of NPDES defined animal units: $ <5022 \\ 50-10025 \\ 100-30064 \\ 300-100073 \\ 1000-700053 \\ >70003$

8. Number of facilities observed in 2001 using one or more of the following waste storage structures:

Lagoons	75
Holding Ponds	10
Pit (beneath slats)	111
In ground tank	13
Above ground tank	
Other	
None	63

- 9. Average number of lagoons and/or holding ponds at facilities using these types of waste storage structures -2.1
- 10. Total number of lagoons and holding ponds observed during on-site surveys of livestock facilities in  $2001\,$   $180\,$

11. Number of facilities observed in 2001 using one or more of the following livestock waste storage structures tabulated by facility type:

Structures	Beef	Dairy	Swine	Poultry	Sheep	Equine	Other	Combined	Total
Lagoons	4	11	54	0	0	0	0	6	75
Holding Ponds	2	5	1	0	0	0	0	2	10
Pits (beneath slats)	3	0	90	2	0	0	0	16	111
In ground tank	1	5	5	0	0	0	0	2	13
Above ground tank	1	7	3	0	0	0	0	3	14
Other	3	13	12	2	0	0	0	8	38
None	23	15	5	0	0	9	3	8	63

12. Number of facilities observed in 2001 using one or more of the following livestock waste storage structures tabulated by facility size:

#### NPDES Animal Units

Structure	< 50	50-100	100-300	300-1000	1000-7000	>7000	Total
Lagoons	0	1	12	35	26	1	75
Holding Ponds	1	1	5	2	1	0	10
Pit (beneath slats)	1	1	21	46	39	3	111
In ground tank	0	0	8	2	3	0	13
Above ground tank	0	0	7	3	4	0	14
Other	0	3	15	11	8	1	38
None	20	20	14	8	1	0	63

13. Number of facilities observed using one or more of the following livestock operations during on-site surveys in 2001:

Total confinement building14	14
Open front confinement units	
Open concrete feedlot	
Open earthen feedlot	
Vegetated pasture	
Other	
Total39	

- 14. Facilities using open front confinement units and/or open concrete or earthen feedlots observed during 2001 without a livestock waste storage structure where even a concrete settling basin was not in place 47
- 15. Number and percent of livestock facilities surveyed during 2001 where clean surface water needs to be diverted away from the facility 98 (40%)

#### **Section II: Regulatory Violations**

16. Number of facilities characterized as having the following regulatory violations during 2001:

Water Quality Standards (Subtitle C)	39
Effluent Standards (Subtitle C)	
Air Emissions	23
New Facility Location (501.402)	1
Runoff Control Requirements (501.403)	76
Handling/Storage Requirements (501.404)	58
Field Application Criteria (560)	19
NPDES Permit Provision	0
No NPDES Permit	20
No Violation Occurred	115
Other	12

- 17. Number and percent of the livestock facilities contacted/visited having one or more regulatory violations in 2001 125 (52%)
- 18. Number and percent of livestock facilities contacted/visited having no regulatory violations in 2001 115 (47%)
- 19. Tabulation of the number and percent of each type of facility contacted/visited having one or more regulatory violations in 2001:

Type	No. Contacted	No. With Violations	Percent With Violations
Beef	36	27	75%
Dairy	48	32	66%
Swine	114	45	39%
Poultry	3	0	0%
Sheep	0	0	0%
Equine	9	5	55%
Other	3	1	33%
Combined	27	16	59%

20. Tabulation of the number and percent of each type of facility contacted/visited that caused no pollution problem or regulatory violations in 2001:

Type	No. Contacted	No. With No Problems	Percent With No Problems
Beef	36	9	25%
Dairy	48	16	33%
Swine	114	69	60%
Poultry	3	3	100%
Sheep	0	0	0%
Equine	9	4	44%
Other	3	2	66%
Combined	27	11	40%

#### **Section III: Water Pollution**

- 21. Total number of livestock facilities generating water pollution complaints during 2001 71\*
- 22. Percent of unsubstantiated water pollution complaints 25%
- 23. Tabulation of the number of livestock facilities by size and animal type generating water pollution complaints during 2001:

	NPDES Animal Units										
Type	< 50	50-100	100-300	300-1000	1000-7000	>7000	Total				
Beef	5	5	2	6	0	0	18				
Dairy	0	1	5	6	1	0	13				
Swine	2	1	5	7	9	1	25				
Poultry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Sheep	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Equine	4	2	0	0	0	0	6				
Other	2	0	0	0	0	0	2				
Combined	0	1	2	3	1	0	7				
Total	13	10	14	22	11	1	71				

#### 24. Sources of water pollution problems observed in 2001 tabulated by animal type:

Sources	Beef	Dairy	Swine	Poultry	Sheep	Equine	Other	Combined	Total
Feedlot runoff	17	23	12	0	0	0	1	10	63
Pit discharge	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	2	8
Lagoon/HP overflow	3	3	8	0	0	0	0	2	16
Intentional discharge/dumping	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	1	7
Tile connection	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Manure stack	5	5	0	0	0	2	0	1	13
Field application	5	3	9	0	0	1	0	0	18
Irrigation equipment failure	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
Other	2	6	9	0	0	1	0	4	22
No Problem Existed	14	17	75	3	0	6	2	12	129

### 25. Sources of water pollution observed in 2001 tabulated by facility size:

### NPDES Animal Units

Sources	< 50	50-100	100-300	300-1000	1000-7000	>7000	Total
Feedlot	5	15	27	11	5	0	63
Pit discharge	0	0	3	4	1	0	8
Lagoon/HP overflow	0	0	3	11	2	0	16
Intentional discharge/dumping	0	2	2	3	0	0	7
Tile connection	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
Manure stack	1	6	3	1	2	0	13
Field application	1	3	3	4	7	0	18
Irrigation equipment failure	0	0	0	1	2	0	3
Other	1	1	10	3	7	0	22
No Problem Existed	14	7	25	45	35	3	129

#### **Section IV: Odor/Air Pollution**

- 26. Total number of livestock facilities generating odor complaints during 2001  $\,$  58  $^{*}$
- 27. Percent of unsubstantiated odor complaints  $\,$  29%
- 28. Tabulation of the number of livestock facilities by size and animal type generating odor complaints during 2001:

#### **NPDES Animal Units**

Type	< 50	50-100	100-300	300-1000	1000-7000	>7000	Total
Beef	3	3	4	1	0	0	11
Dairy	0	0	3	1	1	0	5
Swine	1	0	1	12	16	1	31
Poultry	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Sheep	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Equine	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
Other	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Combined	2	0	1	0	1	0	4
Total	12	3	9	14	19	1	58

29. Sources of odor pollution problems observed in 2001 tabulated by animal types:

Sources	Beef	Dairy	Swine	Poultry	Sheep	Equine	Other	Combined	Total
Field application	5	2	17	0	0	0	0	1	25
Manure stack	4	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	9
Lagoon/holding pond	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	5
Feedlot	3	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	5
Agitation/manure handling	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3
Confinement building/pit	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	10
Other	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	6
No Problem	27	46	87	3	0	6	2	24	195

30. Sources of odor pollution problems observed in 2001 tabulated by facility size:

#### NPDES Animal Units

Sources	< 50	50-100	100-300	300-1000	1000-7000	>7000	Total
Field application	0	3	4	6	12	0	25
Manure stack	5	1	1	1	1	0	9
Lagoon/holding pond	0	0	0	1	4	0	5
Feedlot	3	0	1	1	0	0	5
Agitation/manure handling	0	0	0	1	2	0	3
Confinement building/pit	0	0	0	3	7	0	10
Other	1	0	1	3	1	0	6
No Problem	13	22	58	62	37	3	195

#### **Section V: Facilities Referred to Illinois Department of Agriculture**

31. Number and percent of facilities referred to the Illinois Department of Agriculture regarding either dead animal disposal or potential LMFA violations in 2001 - 6 (2%)

#### **Section VI: Enforcement Activities**

- 32. Number and percent of facilities sent a Noncompliance Advisory Letter (NCA) by the Illinois EPA in 2001 60\*\* (25%)
- 33. Tabulation of the number of facilities by size and animal type receiving NCA letters in 2001: NPDES Animal Units

Туре	< 50	50-100	100-300	300-1000	1000-7000	>7000	Total
Beef	1	5	4	2	2	0	14
Dairy	0	6	13	2	0	0	21
Swine	2	1	5	3	3	0	14
Poultry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sheep	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Equine	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
Other	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Combined	0	2	3	1	1	0	7
Total	5	16	25	8	6	0	60

- 34. Number and percent of facilities sent a Violation Notice Letter (VN) by the Illinois EPA in 2001: 9\*\* (3%)
- 35. Tabulation of the number of facilities by size and animal type receiving Violation Notice

#### letters in 2001:

#### NPDES Animal Units

Туре	< 50	50-100	100-300	300-1000	1000-7000	>7000	Total
Beef	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Dairy	0	0	2	1	1	0	4
Swine	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
Poultry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sheep	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Equine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Combined	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Total	0	0	3	2	4	0	9

- 36. Number and percent of facilities sent a Notice of Intent to Refer Letter (NOIR) by the Illinois EPA in 2001 -1\*\*(0%)
- 37. Tabulation of the number of facilities by size and animal type receiving Notice of Intent to Refer letters in 2001:

#### **NPDES Animal Units**

Type	< 50	50-100	100-300	300-1000	1000-7000	>7000	Total
Beef	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dairy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Swine	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Poultry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sheep	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Equine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Combined	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	1	0	0	0	1

- 38. Number and percent of facilities where a case was referred to the Attorney General=s Office in 2001  $-7^{**}$  (3%)
- 39. Tabulation of the number of facilities by size and animal type where a case was referred to the Attorney General=s Office.

#### NPDES Animal Units

Туре	< 50	50-100	100-300	300-1000	1000-7000	>7000	Total
Beef	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
Dairy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Swine	0	0	1	2	0	0	3
Poultry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sheep	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Equine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Combined	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
Total	0	1	1	5	0	0	7

#### **Section VII: Measures Taken in 2001 to Correct Pollution Problems**

40. Frequency of implementing various management techniques in 2001:

New settling basin	2
New lagoon/holding pond	
New pit	
New manure storage structure	1
New or improved irrigation system	
Storm water diversions or terraces	
Vegetative filter	10
Guttering	
Raised berms or modified lagoons	3
Written plans and specs	
Cleaned feedlots more frequently	
Hired a commercial hauler	
Repaired field tile/closed inlets	2
Moved fences	1
Relocated feedlot	4
New application equipment	
Changed application site	6
Incorporation or injection	7
Reduced herd size	4
Went out of business	13
Revegetated Pasture/rotate grazing	4
Other practice implemented	
No effort made to correct problem	20
No Problem existed	
Unknown	35

<sup>\*</sup> Actual number of complaints fielded may be higher. This total does not reflect a single facility that may generate multiple complaints or cause more than one pollution incident. The total is facilities generating at least one complaint.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Number of facilities receiving letters or referred only. Actual number of referrals or letters may be higher due to single facilities receiving multiple letters or referrals.

### **Section VIII: List of Attachments**

Attachment 1	-	2001 livestock related fish kill data
Attachment 2	-	2001 livestock facilities receiving enforcement letters and facilities referred to the Attorney General tabulated by county
Attachment 3	-	List of livestock facilities for which NPDES Permits were issued in 2001 (Information from DWPC Permit Section)
Attachment 4	-	List of livestock facilities for which Tax Certifications were issued in 2001 (Information from DWPC Permit Section)
Attachment 5	-	Summary of select 2001 data tabulated by county

### ATTACHMENT 1 Illinois EPA Livestock Program 2001 Livestock Facility Investigation Annual Report Livestock Related Fishkill Data

County	Discharge ID	As	ssessed Value (\$)	
Henderson	LCD/071/AS/2		\$0.00	
Iroquois	FLIC/075/AR/7		\$2,073.47	
Peoria	DZ/143/BB/2		\$1,310.21	
Wayne	CC/191/JA/2		\$1,291.46	
Woodford	DK/203/BM/2		\$0.00	
		Total	\$4675.14	

## Illinois EPA Livestock Program 2001 Livestock Facility Investigation Annual Report Livestock Facilities Receiving Enforcement Letters And Facilities Referred to the Attorney General Tabulated by County\*\*

County	Noncompliance Advisory Letter Sent	Violation Notice Letter Sent	Notice of Intent to Refer Letter	Referred to Attorney General
Adams	2	1	0	1
Alexander	No Visits			
Bond	1	0	0	0
Boone	1	0	0	0
Brown	No Visits			
Bureau	0	1	0	0
Calhoun	No Visits			
Carroll	1	0	0	0
Cass	0	0	0	0
Champaign	1	0	0	0
Christian	0	0	0	0
Clark	1	0	0	0
Clay	2	0	0	0
Clinton	4	2	0	1
Coles	No Visits			
Cook	No Visits			
Crawford	0	0	0	0
Cumberland	3	0	0	0
DeKalb	1	0	0	0
DeWitt	No Visits			
Douglas	No Visits			
DuPage	No Visits			
Edgar	0	0	0	0
Edwards	No Visits			
Effingham	2	1	0	0
Fayette	No Visits			
Ford	1	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0
County	Noncompliance Advisory Letter Sent	Violation Notice Letter Sent	Notice of Intent to Refer Letter	Referred to Attorney General
Fulton	2	0	0	0

## Illinois EPA Livestock Program 2001 Livestock Facility Investigation Annual Report Livestock Facilities Receiving Enforcement Letters And Facilities Referred to the Attorney General Tabulated by County\*\*

Gallatin	No Visits	to the rittorney den	orar rabalacea by	County
Greene	2	0	0	0
Grundy	No Visits			
Hamilton	0	0	0	0
Hancock	0	0	0	1
Hardin	No Visits			
Henderson	0	0	0	1
Henry	0	0	0	1
Iroquois	0	1	0	0
Jackson	2	0	0	0
Jasper	1	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	0	0	0
Jersey	No Visits			
JoDaviess	16	0	0	0
Johnson	0	0	0	0
Kane	No Visits			
Kankakee	0	0	0	0
Kendall	0	0	0	0
Knox	0	1	0	0
Lake	1	0	0	0
LaSalle	No Visits			
Lawrence	No Visits			
Lee	0	0	0	0
Livingston	1	0	0	0
Logan	0	0	0	0
Macon	1	0	0	0
Macoupin	0	0	0	0
County	Noncompliance Advisory Letter Sent	Violation Notice Letter Sent	Notice of Intent to Refer Letter	Referred to Attorney General
Madison	0	0	0	0
Marion	No Visits			
Marshall	No Visits			
Mason	No Visits			

## Illinois EPA Livestock Program 2001 Livestock Facility Investigation Annual Report Livestock Facilities Receiving Enforcement Letters cilities Referred to the Attorney General Tabulated by Co

And Facilities Referred to the Attorney General Tabulated by County**					
Massac	0	0	0	0	
McDonough	0	0	0	0	
McHenry	No Visits				
McLean	1	0	0	0	
Menard	0	0	0	0	
Mercer	1	0	0	0	
Monroe	1	0	0	0	
Montgomery	No Visits				
Morgan	0	0	0	0	
Moultrie	No Visits				
Ogle	1	0	0	0	
Peoria	1	0	0	0	
Perry	0	0	0	0	
Piatt	0	0	0	0	
Pike	0	0	0	1	
Pope	No Visits				
Pulaski	0	0	1	0	
Putnam	No Visits				
Randolph	No Visits				
Richland	No Visits				
Rock Island	No Visits				
Saline	No Visits				
Sangamon	0	0	0	0	
County	Noncompliance Advisory Letter Sent	Violation Notice Letter Sent	Notice of Intent to Refer Letter	Referred to Attorney General	
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	
Scott	0	0	0	0	
Shelby	1	0	0	0	
Stark	No Visits				
St. Clair	No Visits				
Stephenson	3	0	0	0	
Tazewell	0	0	0	0	
Union	0	0	0	0	

#### **Illinois EPA Livestock Program**

### **2001** Livestock Facility Investigation Annual Report Livestock Facilities Receiving Enforcement Letters

#### And Facilities Referred to the Attorney General Tabulated by County\*\*

Vermilion 0 0	0	0
		•
Wabash No Visits		
Warren 1 0	0	0
Washington 2 0	0	0
Wayne 1 1	0	0
White 0 0	0	0
Whiteside 1 1	0	0
Will No Visits		
Williamson No Visits		
Winnebago 0 0	0	0
Woodford 0 0	0	1
Total 60 9	1	7

<sup>\*\*</sup> Number of facilities receiving letters or referred only. Actual number of referrals or letters may be higher due to single facilities receiving multiple letters or referrals.

## ATTACHMENT 3 Illinois EPA Livestock Program 2001 Livestock Facility Investigation Annual Report List of NPDES Permits Issued for Livestock Facilities in 2001

Facility	County	NPDES Number	Date Issued	Expiration Date
----------	--------	--------------	-------------	-----------------

No Permits were Issued in 2001

## ATTACHMENT 4 Illinois EPA Livestock Program 2001 Livestock Facility Investigation Annual Report List of Tax Certifications

#### **Issued for Livestock Facilities in 2001**<sup>®</sup>

Name	County	Docket Number	Certification Date
Sangamon Prairie Pork Farm	Sangamon	PCB01 - 13	May 3, 2001
Hog Haven, LLC	Lee	PCB01 - 13	May 3, 2001
Shinn Lane Production, LLC	Pike	PCB01 - 67	December 6, 2001
J & J Farms	Pike	PCB01 - 66	December 6, 2001
Borrowman, Dan & Jackie	Pike	PCB01 - 74	December 20, 2001
Fairview Acres, Inc.	Logan	PCB01 - 69	December 20, 2001

**Total: 6 Certifications** 

Procedure for obtaining Tax Certification for Pollution Control Facilities was changed during 2000. Effective January 1, 2001, all Certifications are granted or denied by the Illinois Pollution Control Board. A facility still sends the Tax Certification application to the Agency for review and the Agency then forwards the application to the Illinois Pollution Control Board along with a recommendation on what action the Board should take. Additional information can be found in 35 Illinois Administrative Codes, Subtitle A, Part 125: Tax Certifications.

## Illinois EPA Livestock Program 2001 Livestock Facility Investigation Annual Report Summary of Investigation Data Tabulated by County

County	Number of Facilities Contacted	Number of Total Visits	*Facilities With Water Complaints	*Facilities With Odor Complaints
Adams	8	12	5	1
Alexander	No Visits			
Bond	1	1	1	0
Boone	1	2	0	0
Brown	No Visits			
Bureau	1	1	1	0
Calhoun	No Visits			
Carroll	2	2	0	1
Cass	1	1	0	0
Champaign	1	2	1	0
Christian	5	9	0	4
Clark	2	1	2	2
Clay	2	2	1	0
Clinton	18	25	8	4
Coles	No Visits			
Cook	No Visits			
Crawford	2	3	1	1
Cumberland	8	9	1	0
DeKalb	10	12	1	0
DeWitt	No Visits			
Douglas	No Visits			
DuPage	No Visits			
Edgar	1	1	1	1
Edwards	No Visits			
Effingham	13	14	3	0
Fayette	No Visits			
Ford	2	1	1	1
Franklin	2	2	0	0
Fulton	3	6	1	0
Gallatin	No Visits			

## Illinois EPA Livestock Program 2001 Livestock Facility Investigation Annual Report Summary of Investigation Data Tabulated by County

County	Number of Facilities Contacted	Number of Total Visits	*Facilities With Water Complaints	*Facilities With Odor Complaints
Greene	7	9	1	3
Grundy	No Visits			
Hamilton	3	3	0	0
Hanco dk	7	15	0	2
Hardin	No Visits			
Henderson	2	9	1	1
Henry	2	3	1	1
Iroquois	2	4	0	0
Jackson	3	6	2	1
Jasper	2	2	0	0
Jefferson	4	5	1	0
Jersey	No Visits			
JoDaviess	20	27	2	0
Johnson	1	1	0	0
Kane	No Visits			
Kankakee	2	4	1	0
Kendall	1	2	0	0
Knox	4	28	1	1
Lake	1	1	1	0
LaSalle	No Visits			
Lawrence	No Visits			
Lee	1	1	0	1
Livingston	2	3	1	2
Logan	3	4	2	3
Macon	1	1	1	1
Macoupin	4	6	1	2
Madison	1	1	0	0
Marion	No Visits			
Marshall	No Visits			
Mason	No Visits			
Massac	2	2	1	0

## Illinois EPA Livestock Program 2001 Livestock Facility Investigation Annual Report Summary of Investigation Data Tabulated by County

County	Number of Facilities Contacted	Number of Total Visits	*Facilities With Water Complaints	*Facilities With Odor Complaints
McDonough	6	14	1	0
McHenry	No Visits			
McLean	8	7	3	6
Menard	1	1	1	0
Mercer	3	7	2	0
Monroe	1	1	1	0
Montgomery	No Visits			
Morgan	2	2	0	1
Moultrie	No Visits			
Ogle	1	2	1	0
Peoria	3	101	2	2
Perry	2	3	1	0
Piatt	1	1	0	0
Pike	6	16	1	3
Pope	No Visits			
Pulaski	1	1	0	0
Putnam	No Visits			
Randolph	No Visits			
Richland	No Visits			
Rock Island	No Visits			
Saline	No Visits			
Sangamon	8	8	4	5
Schuyler	1	3	0	1
Scott	1	3	0	0
Shelby	3	3	0	0
Stark	No Visits			
St. Clair	No Visits			
Stephenson	5	10	1	1
Tazewell	1	1	0	1
Union	1	1	0	0
Vermilion	1	2	1	1

#### ATTACHMENT 5 Illinois EPA Livestock Program

### 2001 Livestock Facility Investigation Annual Report Summary of Investigation Data Tabulated by County

County	Number of Facilities Contacted	Number of Total Visits	*Facilities With Water Complaints	*Facilities With Odor Complaints
Wabash	No Visits			
Warren	2	2	0	1
Washington	6	6	1	0
Wayne	8	9	1	1
White	3	3	0	0
Whiteside	1	4	1	1
Will	No Visits			
Williamson	No Visits			
Winnebago	2	4	2	1
Woodford	4	11	2	0
***TOTAL***	240	469	71*	58*

<sup>\*</sup> Actual number of complaints fielded may be higher. This total does not reflect a single facility that may generate multiple complaints or cause more than one pollution incident. The total is facilities generating at least one complaint.